

Seed Cotton Yield and Economics of Hybrid Cotton (*Gossypium spp*) as Influenced by Weed Management Practices in Southern Dry Zone of Karnataka

H. M. HONNAPPA AND B. G. SHEKARA

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru-560065

E-mail : honnappahm918@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at ZARS, V. C. Farm, Mandya during *kharif* 2016 in Southern Dry Zone of Karnataka to identify suitable herbicide for effective and economical weed management in hybrid cotton. The experiment comprised of 13 treatments having two pre-emergence herbicides (alachlor and pendimethalin) applied at 3 DAS and three early post emergence herbicides (pyrithiobac sodium, fenoxaprop p-ethyl, quizalofop ethyl) applied at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds alone or in combination with pre-emergence herbicides compared with hand weeding and weedy check. Among herbicides treatments, Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ as pre-emergence spray applied at 3 DAS fb Pyrithiobac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ + Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ 62.5 g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds has recorded significantly higher seed cotton yield (2201 kg ha⁻¹), weed control efficiency (86.37 %) and benefit cost ratio (2.58) as compared to other herbicide treatments. The weedy check recorded lower seed cotton yield (1278 kg ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (1.67).

Keywords: Hybrid cotton, Herbicides, Seed cotton yield, Weed control efficiency, Weed index

COTTON (*Gossypium spp.*) is considered as the king of fibre crops and popularly known as white gold. In India, cotton is cultivated in an area of 12.70 m. ha with a production of 30.50 million bales and productivity of 494 kg ha⁻¹ lint. The productivity is lower in India compared to world (725 kg ha⁻¹ lint). In Karnataka, it is grown in an area of 4.85 lakh hectares with a production of 15.90 lakh bales and productivity of 596 kg ha⁻¹ lint (Anon., 2014).

As per the estimates, 47.5 m bales of lint is required to meet the domestic and export requirements by 2020 AD. To fulfill this projected requirement, the cotton productivity has to be increased considerably. Yield level of crop keeps fluctuating year after year depending upon the prevailing climatic conditions and managerial issues such as weed, sucking pest and disease incidence. Since, the crop has long growth cycle, weed problem is a serious production constraint during the early growth stages. The loss caused by weeds in cotton ranges from 50 to 85 per cent depending upon the nature and intensity of weeds. Weeds primarily compete for nutrients, moisture and

sunlight during the early crop growth period and reduces quality due to additional trash and staining of fibres leading to low grades and prices besides harboring crop pests. At present day the scarce availability of labours, hike in wages and limited moisture availability under rainfed conditions and also application of single herbicide may not be effective in controlling the diversity of weeds. Therefore, application of more than one herbicide either in combination or sequence proved more effective and economical in controlling weeds. Hence, keeping these things in view the present investigation was carried out to identify suitable herbicides for effective and economic weed management in hybrid cotton.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

An investigation entitled “Seed cotton yield and economics of hybrid cotton (*Gossypium spp.*) as influenced by weed management practices in Southern Dry Zone of Karnataka” was conducted during *kharif* of 2016 at Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Vishweshwaraiah Canal Farm, Mandya. The soil of

the experimental site is sandy loam in texture and neutral in reaction with a pH of 7.27 and normal in electrical conductivity (0.38 dS m^{-1}). The organic carbon content was 0.46 per cent and low in available N ($210.54 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$), medium in available phosphorus (27.48 kg ha^{-1}) and available potassium (152.20 kg/ha). The trail was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) and replicated thrice. The experiment comprised of 13 treatments viz., T_1 : Alachlor 50 EC @ $1 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS, T_2 : Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ $0.75 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS, T_3 : Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds, T_4 : Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ + Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ $37.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds (tank mixture), T_5 : Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ + Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds (tank mixture), T_6 : Alachlor 50 EC @ $1 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds, T_7 : Alachlor 50 EC @ $1 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ + Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ $37.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds (tank mixture), T_8 : Alachlor 50 EC @ $1 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ + Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds (tank mixture), T_9 : Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ $0.75 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds, T_{10} : Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ $0.75 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ + Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ $37.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds (tank mixture), T_{11} : Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ $0.75 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ + Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds (tank mixture), T_{12} : 2 Hand weedings at 20 and 50 DAS and T_{13} : Weedy check. The cotton hybrid (DCH - 32) was sown on 16th July 2016 with a spacing of $90 \text{ cm} \times 60 \text{ cm}$. The recommended dose of fertilizer viz., $150:75:75 \text{ N:P}_2\text{O}_5\text{:K}_2\text{O}$ kg ha^{-1} was applied

uniformly to all the treatments in the form of urea, SSP and MOP, respectively. The 50 per cent of N, 100 per cent P and K was applied as basal dose at the time of sowing and remaining 50 per cent of nitrogen was top dressed in two splits viz., 25 per cent at 50 DAS and 25 per cent at 75 DAS.

The observations on weed count and weed dry weight (g m^{-2}) were recorded at 30, 60, 90, 120 DAS and at harvest. Seed cotton yield and stalk yield recorded at harvest and calculated based on the yield obtained from each net plot and converted to kg ha^{-1} and B:C ratio was calculated by using gross returns and total cost of cultivation. The data was statistically analyzed by following the method of Gomez and Gomez (1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of weed management practices on seed cotton yield

The seed cotton yield and stalk yield were significantly influenced by weed management practices (Table 1). Among herbicidal treatments, Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ $0.75 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ + Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds recorded significantly higher seed cotton yield (2201 kg ha^{-1}) and stalk yield (3524 kg ha^{-1}) which was found on par with Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ $0.75 \text{ kg a.i. ha}^{-1}$ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ $62.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ + Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ $37.5 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1}$ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds (2195 and 3492 kg ha^{-1} , respectively). Weedy check recorded lower seed cotton yield (1278 kg ha^{-1}) and stalk yield (2800 kg ha^{-1}). Harvest index was non significant due to weed management practices (Table 1). In weedy check, competition of weeds prevailed for the entire season resulted in reduction of seed cotton yield. The increased seed cotton yield in sequential herbicidal applications can be attributed to low weed competition during initial stage due to application of pre-emergence herbicide and further control of new growth of weeds by application of early post emergence herbicides at 20-25 DAS resulted better weed control in the early as well as later stages of crop. This improvement in turn was due to improved

TABLE 1
Seed cotton yield, stalk yield and harvest index of hybrid cotton as influenced by weed management practices

Treatments		Seed cotton yield(kg ha ⁻¹)	Stalk yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index
T ₁	Alachlor	1368	2940	0.318
T ₂	Pendimethalin	1608	3036	0.346
T ₃	Pyriothiac sodium	1494	2960	0.335
T ₄	Pyriothiac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	1727	3078	0.359
T ₅	Pyriothiac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	1793	3090	0.367
T ₆	Alachlor <i>fb</i> Pyriothiac sodium	1829	3086	0.372
T ₇	Alachlor <i>fb</i> Pyriothiac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	1897	3104	0.379
T ₈	Alachlor <i>fb</i> Pyriothiac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	1936	3168	0.379
T ₉	Pendimethalin <i>fb</i> Pyriothiac sodium	1862	3128	0.373
T ₁₀	Pendimethalin <i>fb</i> Pyriothiac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	2195	3492	0.386
T ₁₁	Pendimethalin <i>fb</i> Pyriothiac sodium+ Fenoxaprop p ethyl	2201	3524	0.384
T ₁₂	Hand weedings at 20 and 50 DAS	2269	3540	0.391
T ₁₃	Weedy check	1278	2800	0.313
	SEm±	87.10	152.88	0.02
	CD at 5 %	254.20	446.23	NS

Note: *Alachlor 50 EC@1 kg a.i. ha⁻¹) and Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ – as pre-emergence spray (3 DAS).

*Pyriothiac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹, Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ 37.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ and Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds

fb= Followed by, One hoeing at 60 DAS is common for all the treatments , DAS: Days after sowing, SC: Soluble concentrate and EC: Emulsifiable concentrate.

growth attributes such as higher total dry matter production and distribution in different parts, higher leaf area and leaf area index. Thus the improvement in crop growth and yield components was the consequence of lower crop weed competition, which shifted the balance in favour of crop in the utilization of nutrients, moisture, light and space. These results are in conformity with the findings of Rao (2011), Hiremath (2013), Veeraputhiran and Srinivasan (2015) and Jyotsana (2016).

Effect of weed management practices on growth attributes

The plant height, monopodial and sympodial branches and dry matter accumulation varied significantly due

to different weed control treatments recorded at harvest (Table 2). The pre requisite for getting higher yield in any crop is higher total dry matter production and it's partitioning into various plant parts coupled with maximum translocation of photosynthates to the sink. Total dry matter accumulation is the sum of dry matter accumulation in individual plant parts which depends on the moisture, nutrient and availability of sun light. The significantly higher plant height (160.34 cm), monopodial branches (3.2 No. Plant⁻¹), sympodial branches (29.80 No. Plant⁻¹) and dry matter accumulation (413.40 g plant⁻¹) were observed in weed free check (2 hand weeding at 20 and 50 DAS). Among herbicide treatments, Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ as pre-emergence spray (3 DAS)

TABLE 2
Growth attributes of hybrid cotton as influenced by weed management practices
at harvest of the crop

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Monopodial branches (No. Plant ⁻¹)	Sympodial branches (No. Plant ⁻¹)	Dry matter accumulation (g plant ⁻¹)
T ₁ Alachlor	119.64	1.7	22.50	277.04
T ₂ Pendimethalin	124.35	2.0	24.90	298.50
T ₃ Pyriothiac sodium	121.64	1.8	23.40	290.74
T ₄ Pyriothiac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	126.94	2.1	25.20	306.60
T ₅ Pyriothiac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	129.37	2.3	25.50	315.38
T ₆ Alachlor fb Pyriothiac sodium	131.28	2.4	25.70	323.87
T ₇ Alachlor fb Pyriothiac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	137.58	2.7	26.50	340.15
T ₈ Alachlor fb Pyriothiac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	139.42	2.9	26.70	346.14
T ₉ Pendimethalin fb Pyriothiac sodium	134.67	2.5	26.20	333.54
T ₁₀ Pendimethalin fb Pyriothiac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	155.57	3.0	27.60	394.02
T ₁₁ Pendimethalin fb Pyriothiac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	158.23	3.1	28.40	408.80
T ₁₂ Hand weedings at 20 and 50 DAS	160.34	3.2	29.80	413.40
T ₁₃ Weedy check	106.47	1.4	20.30	246.24
SEm±	6.48	0.1	1.23	15.94
CD at 5 %	18.92	0.3	3.60	46.52

Note: *Alachlor 50 EC@1 kg a.i. ha⁻¹) and Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ – as pre-emergence spray (3 DAS).

*Pyriothiac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹, Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ 37.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ and Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds

fb= Followed by, One hoeing at 60 DAS is common for all the treatments , DAS: Days after sowing, SC: Soluble concentrate and EC: Emulsifiable concentrate

fb Pyriothiac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ + Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ 62.5 g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds recorded significantly higher plant height (158.23 cm), monopodial branches (3.1 No. Plant⁻¹), sympodial branches (28.40 No. Plant⁻¹) and dry matter accumulation (408.80 g plant⁻¹) which was on par with Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriothiac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ + Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ 37.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds (155.57 cm, 3.0 No. Plant⁻¹, 27.60 No. Plant⁻¹ and 394.02 g plant⁻¹, respectively) and superior over weedy check (106.47 cm, 1.4 No. Plant⁻¹, 20.30 No. Plant⁻¹ and 246.24 g plant⁻¹, respectively). The higher growth attributes in these treatments was mainly due to effective control of weeds in the early and later stages

of crop by the sequential application of herbicides. Similar results were reported by Gnanavel and Babu (2008), Muhammad *et al.* (2011) and Jyotsana (2016).

Effect of weed management practices on yield attributes

The gross returns, net returns and benefit cost ratio varied due to weed control treatment (Table 3). Among herbicide treatments, Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriothiac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ + Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ 62.5 g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds recorded higher seed cotton yield (123.79 g plant⁻¹), boll weight (3.72 g) and harvested bolls (37.65 No. Plant⁻¹) which was on par with Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ as pre-

TABLE 3
Yield attributes of hybrid cotton as influenced by weed management practices

Treatments	Seed cotton yield(kg ha ⁻¹)	Stalk yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index
T ₁ Alachlor	78.07	2.57	30.13
T ₂ Pendimethalin	91.24	2.92	32.24
T ₃ Pyriithiobac sodium	84.99	2.69	31.12
T ₄ Pyriithiobac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	97.78	3.00	32.35
T ₅ Pyriithiobac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	101.40	3.15	32.95
T ₆ Alachlor fb Pyriithiobac sodium	103.37	3.24	33.00
T ₇ Alachlor fb Pyriithiobac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	107.10	3.25	33.35
T ₈ Alachlor fb Pyriithiobac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	109.25	3.26	33.56
T ₉ Pendimethalin fb Pyriithiobac sodium	105.18	3.25	33.10
T ₁₀ Pendimethalin fb Pyriithiobac sodium Quizalofop ethyl	123.46	3.52	37.00
T ₁₁ Pendimethalin fb Pyriithiobac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	123.79	3.72	37.65
T ₁₂ Hand weedings at 20 and 50 DAS	127.52	3.78	37.80
T ₁₃ Weedy check	73.14	2.43	29.00
SEm±	4.93	0.15	1.62
CD at 5 %	14.38	0.44	4.71

Note: *Alachlor 50 EC@1 kg a.i. ha⁻¹) and Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ – as pre-emergence spray (3 DAS).

*Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹, Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ 37.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ and Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds.

* Figures in the parenthesis are original values and data subjected to for transformation using “(x + 0.5), where x is the weed dry matter.

fb= Followed by, One hoeing at 60 DAS is common for all the treatments , DAS: Days after sowing, SC: Soluble concentrate and EC: Emulsifiable concentrate

emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ + Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ 37.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds (123.46g plant⁻¹, 3.52 g and 37.00 No. Plant⁻¹, respectively) and superior over weedy check (73.14 g plant⁻¹, 2.43 g and 29.00 No. Plant⁻¹, respectively). The improvement in crop growth and yield components was the consequence of lower crop weed competition, which shifted the balance in favour of crop in the utilization of nutrients, moisture, light and space. Similar results were obtained by Moolchand *et al.* (2011), Patel *et al.* (2011), Hiremath (2013) and Jyotsana (2016).

Effect of weed management practices on weed indices

The dry weight of weeds, weed control efficiency and weed index varied significantly due to different weed control treatments recorded at 90 DAS (Table 4). Significantly lower weed dry weight (16.46 g m⁻²), and higher weed control efficiency (90.04%) were observed in hand weeding at 20 and 50 DAS. Among herbicide treatments, Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ as pre-emergence spray (3 DAS) fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ + Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ 62.5 g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds was recorded significantly lower

TABLE 4
Dry weight of weeds, weed control efficiency (WCE) and weed index (WI) in hybrid cotton as influenced by weed management practices at 90 days after sowing

Treatments	Dry weight of weeds (g m ⁻²)	WCE (%)	WI (%)
T ₁ Alachlor	9.54 (90.64)	44.60	39.31
T ₂ Pendimethalin	8.41 (70.33)	57.52	29.05
T ₃ Pyriithiobac sodium	8.26 (67.80)	59.06	33.87
T ₄ Pyriithiobac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	7.54 (56.34)	65.91	23.64
T ₅ Pyriithiobac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	7.42 (54.68)	67.03	20.65
T ₆ Alachlor <i>fb</i> Pyriithiobac sodium	7.28 (52.56)	67.89	18.90
T ₇ Alachlor <i>fb</i> Pyriithiobac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	6.38 (40.24)	75.49	16.18
T ₈ Alachlor <i>fb</i> Pyriithiobac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	5.92 (34.56)	79.17	14.53
T ₉ Pendimethalin <i>fb</i> Pyriithiobac sodium	6.95 (47.94)	70.65	17.53
T ₁₀ Pendimethalin <i>fb</i> Pyriithiobac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	5.46 (29.32)	82.19	3.18
T ₁₁ Pendimethalin <i>fb</i> Pyriithiobac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	4.78 (22.32)	86.37	2.27
T ₁₂ Hand weedings at 20 and 50 DAS	4.12 (16.46)	90.04	0.00
T ₁₃ Weedy check	12.91 (166.54)	0.00	43.63
SEm±	4.25		
CD at 5 %	12.40		

Note: *Alachlor 50 EC@1 kg a.i. ha⁻¹) and Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ – as pre-emergence spray (3 DAS).

*Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹, Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ 37.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ and Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds.

* Figures in the parenthesis are original values and data subjected to for transformation using “(x + 0.5), where x is the weed dry matter.

fb= Followed by, One hoeing at 60 DAS is common for all the treatments , DAS: Days after sowing, SC: Soluble concentrate and EC: Emulsifiable concentrate

dry weight of weeds (22.32 g m⁻²), weed index (2.27) and higher weed control efficiency (86.37 %) which was on par with Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS *fb* Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ + Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ 37.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds (29.32 g m⁻², 3.18 and 82.19 per cent, respectively) and superior over rest of the herbicides treatments (34.56 to 90.64 g m⁻², 14.53 to 39.31 per cent and 44.60 to 79.17 per cent, respectively). The weedy check recorded higher dry weight of weeds (166.54 g m⁻²) and weed index (43.63%). The decreased weed dry weight in these treatments was

mainly due to effective control of weeds in the early and later stages of crop by the sequential application of herbicides. Similar results were reported by Prabhu *et al.*, 2010, Salimi *et al.* (2010), Hirmath (2013), Patel *et al.* (2013), Veeraputhiran and Srinivasan (2015) and Jyotsana (2016).

Effect of weed management practices on economics

The gross returns, net returns and benefit cost ratio varied due to weed control treatment (Table 5). Among herbicide treatments, Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS *fb*

TABLE 5
Economics of hybrid cotton as influenced by weed management practices

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Gross returns (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Net returns (₹ - ha ⁻¹)	B : C ration
T ₁ Alachlor	35243	61560	26317	1.75
T ₂ Pendimethalin	35733	72360	36627	2.03
T ₃ Pyriithiobac sodium	36108	67230	31122	1.86
T ₄ Pyriithiobac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	37270	77715	40445	2.09
T ₅ Pyriithiobac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	37112	80685	43573	2.17
T ₆ Alachlor fb Pyriithiobac sodium	36868	82305	45437	2.23
T ₇ Alachlor fb Pyriithiobac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	38030	85365	47335	2.24
T ₈ Alachlor fb Pyriithiobac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	37872	87120	49248	2.30
T ₉ Pendimethalin fb Pyriithiobac sodium	37358	83790	46432	2.24
T ₁₀ Pendimethalin fb Pyriithiobac sodium + Quizalofop ethyl	38520	98775	60255	2.56
T ₁₁ Pendimethalin fb Pyriithiobac sodium + Fenoxaprop p ethyl	38362	99045	60683	2.58
T ₁₂ Hand weedings at 20 and 50 DAS	44303	102105	57802	2.30
T ₁₃ Weedy check	34483	57510	23027	1.67

Note: *Alachlor 50 EC@1 kg a.i. ha⁻¹) and Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ – as pre-emergence spray (3 DAS).

*Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹, Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ 37.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ and Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds

fb= Followed by, One hoeing at 60 DAS is common for all the treatments , DAS: Days after sowing, SC: Soluble concentrate and EC: Emulsifiable concentrate

Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ + Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ 62.5 g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds recorded higher gross returns (₹ 99045 ha⁻¹), net returns (₹ 60683 ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (2.58) followed by Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ + Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ 37.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds (₹ 98775 ha⁻¹, ₹ 60255 ha⁻¹ and 2.56, respectively). It was mainly due to the sequential application of herbicides which resulted in better control of weeds in early and later stages of crop which lead to higher seed cotton yield and lower cost of cultivation. This has resulted in higher net returns and B:C ratio. However weedy check recorded lower gross returns (₹ 57510 ha⁻¹), net returns (₹ 23027 ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (1.67). These results were in line with the findings of Hiremath (2013) and Jyotsana (2016).

Based on these results it can be inferred that sequential application of Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ as pre-emergence spray at 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ + Fenoxaprop p ethyl 9 EC @ 62.5 g a.i. ha⁻¹ or Pendimethalin 38.75 EC @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ as pre-emergence spray 3 DAS fb Pyriithiobac sodium 10 SC @ 62.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ + Quizalofop ethyl 5 EC @ 37.5g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds recorded higher seed cotton yield, weed control efficiency, net returns and B:C ratio and lower weed index.

REFERENCES

- ANONYMOUS, 2014, All India Co-ordinated Research Project, Annual Report, 2014-15, pp. 4.
- GNANAVEL, I. AND BABU, S., 2008, Integrated weed management in irrigated hybrid cotton. *Agric. Sci. Digest*, **28** (2) : 93 - 96.

- GOMEZ, K. A. AND GOMEZ, A. A., 1984, Stastical procedure for agricultural research. John Wiley and sons, New Delhi, p. 680.
- HIREMATH, R., 2013, Weed management in Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) under UKP command area. *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Raichur.
- JYOTSANA, S., 2016, Weed management for Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) cotton. *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, College of Agriculture, Indore.
- MOOLCHAND, C. V., SINGH, SAIRAM AND PRABHUKUMAR, S., 2011, Evaluation of integrated weed management practices in rainfed cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) at farmers field. Symposium papers "Globe cotton technologies vis-a-vis climate change. 274 - 276.
- MUHAMMAD, D. L., AFZAL, M. N., RAZA, I. AND DUPONT, P. L., 2011, Comparative study of different weeding methods on cotton crop under drip irrigation system, World cotton research conference on technologies for prosperity, 392 - 394.
- PATEL, B. D., PATEL, R. B., SHETA, B. T., PATEL, V. J., PATEL, R. A. AND PARMAR, D. J., 2013, Influence of integrated weed management practices on weeds and yield of Bt cotton. *Res. Crops*, **15** (2) : 503 - 507.
- PRABHU, G, HALEPYATI, A. S., PUJARI, B. T. AND DESAI, B. K., 2010, Integrated weed management in Bt cotton. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **24** (4) : 529 - 530.
- RAO, A. S., 2011, Evaluation of pyriithiobac alone and in combination with grassy herbicides on weed control in cotton. *World Cotton Res. Conference Techno. Prosp.*, pp : 406 - 409.
- SALIMI, BAZOUBANDI, M. AND FEREDOUNPOUR, M., 2010, Investigating different methods of integrated weed management in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Electronic J. Crop Prod.*, **3** (1) : 187 - 197.
- VEERAPUTHIRAN, R. AND SRINIVASAN, G., 2015, Post-emergence herbicides effect on weeds, yield and economics of Bt cotton. *Indian J. Weed Sci.*, **47** (4) : 379 - 382.

(Received : May, 2018 Accepted : August, 2018)